To be preserved for the present
D 21/12/31

G.R.S. III-2]

Previous references. (1924 R.A) RA File No. 29-23

RADP No 9-23. Serial Nos. 35, 41, 43, 45, 53, 57, 63, 66

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for October 1924

SUBJECT

Appeal against the orders of Dy commissioner re:- the irregularities in the Election of R.A at T. Narasipura on 15.2.24.

To

Messrs Lakshminarayanappa & others
T. Narasipura

(66) Rc P1689 of 30.9.24
Dc Mysore No.B 1690 Forwarding copy with reasons
Dismisses the appeal against Dc Mysore

2 Venkatadurgaiya
21/12/24

G. R. S. III-1]

1923-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(RA)

NOTES.

File No. 29-23

FILE HEADING.

Appeals against elections to the Rep: Assembly

From

Mr. P R Rao & Muniaraswamappa And 20 Others

No. Petition Dated 22. 3. 24 Received 26. 3. 24

Diary No. 4237 Enclos. - Spare Copies. - [Serial No. 35

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that they have filed a petition 6.3.24 appealing against the order of the Dy Comr: Mysore Dist: re: the irregularities in the elections to the RA at T. Narsipur on 15. 2. 24 & requests that 2 Rs Court fee stamps now sent may be affixed to that petition & necessary enquiries may be caused to be made & a re-election ordered.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted. The petitioners say that they have filed an appeal petition on 6.3.24 and want this Office to affix the 2 Rs Court fee stamps now sent to the same. And necessary further action

NOTES—First Sheet.

806

4232

(2

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | RA File No. 29 of 1923

Serial No.

taken. It is submitted that no such appeal petition was received in this office.

Flagd 2. A petition in duplicate was received from through the Revenue Secretary to H.H. on 5/3/24, and attention is invited to office noting Flagd dated 15/3/24 on that petition.

3. One copy of that petition was returned with an endorsement No 5750 dt 18.3.24 and the same has not been resubmitted by the petitioners who say in paragraph 2(3) of their petition dated 24.3.24 that they have now remitted Rs 2/- in the shape of Court fee stamps.

Paper under disposal

4. Submitted for orders if the petitioners may be asked to return that endorsement as well as a copy of their appeal petition dated 6.3.24 and a copy of the order appealed against.

11/4

5. The party has no right to expect his work being done for him here. It is his work and to present the application after performing all the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2}

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 21 File No. 29 of 1923.

Serial No.

necessary formalities - Otherwise the application is to be returned, as is done in Courts.

6. The stamps may be returned & the party asked to observe the necessary formalities, if he cares to press his petition.

Read 12/4 4/15 Mar

Cay 12/8

Submitted with a draft for approval. The party has also been informed in the draft that his Appeal petition has not been received in this office

Issue 15/4

Cay 15/4

41 Letter to the Petitioners, No. 5876 D/17.4.24.

Informing them that there should be reference the necessary formalities if it is necessary to take the petition is to be dealt with in the usual course & returning the 2 Accounts - Fee stamps received from them.

From the Petitioners. Petition Read 29.4.24

Returns the petition with the stamps duly affixed & requests further action to be

Fill 5/5/24 43

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

RA File No. 29 of 1923

Serial No.

Taken in regard to the irregularities in the election held at T. Nadu for Constituency on 15. 2. 24

9 Unelected.

10 The petitioners Complain.

1) that the polling began at 12/30 noon instead of at 11 A.m.

2) that one of the voters Mr. Basappa ~~one of the voters~~ was threatened with persecution when he had gone to the polling booth to record his vote

3) that the polling officers did not make any arrangements to record the votes according to serial nos or ~~seniority~~ Pecuniary war. ~~or hobbies~~ & ill-treated the voters when they requested him to observe some order for the convenience of all voters.

4) That only 101 voters out of 800 voters recorded ~~their votes~~ by 5 P.m on 15/2/24

5) That about 50 ~~to 60~~ voters of Bannur side who thought that they were late for going back to Bannur for the night were detained ~~by~~ stayed at T. Nadu as the guests of Mr. Majigowda & Siddegowda and recorded their votes in favour of both these persons the following day ie 16/2/24.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4/82

(5)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | DM File No. 29 of 1923.

Serial No.

6) That only a total 175 Voters out of 800 Voters recorded their Vote on 15th & 16th instants Feb 1924.

7) That the election began at 12/30 Pm On 16/2/24 also and those that were the friends of Messrs Mayi gowda & Sidde gowda, the persons might recorded their Votes in their favor.

8) That they have returned their petition with 2-Rs stamps duly (Returned to them with Endt No. P 5876 Dt 17. 4. 24.) duly affixed to the petition

9) The petitioners request for the re-asons mentioned above, (illegible) that the election may be set aside & a re-election may be ordered.

10. May the petition be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dist for report with records. Is yes

cwg 9/5

6/5

hul

Draft submitted. - Is Issue cwg

6/5 hul

45 To The Dy. Mysore Dist.

No. P. 6202 Dt 12. 5. 24

For the petition for report with records

Order 15/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 29 of 1923

(6

Serial No.	
53	From the Dr Comr Mysore Dt No. Ac 8.357/23-24 DTS: 6.2.24. Forwards a report on the objection petition of Mr P R Lakshminarayanappa & Others re: the elections to the Rep: Assembly & Leg: Council from T. Narsipur.
16.	Submitted for perusal & orders. The Dr Comr states that the objections raised by the petitioners in their petitions are not entitled to consideration as they are futile. And that he visited T. Narsipur on 16/2/24 & completed the elections and the results were declared on 16/2
	17. It is not clear from the Deputy Commissioner's report whether the 2nd petn was accompanied by deposit of Rs 100/- as required. Write & enquire & call for records of the petitions received.
	18. Draft submitted. 23/2/24 24/3/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

(1)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

R.A File No. 29 of 1923-24

Serial No.	
57	To the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist No P. 408 dt 29-7-24 & with ref. cr. 1923 enquired whether the petition of messrs. B.S. Puttaswami, Puttamma and Venkataramana Setti was accompanied by a deposit of Rs 100 and requests to forward the records leading to the endorsement rejecting the same petition. Sd/ A. 30/7 To Submit with a draft reminder for approval Jr Ut. 13/8 Sd Illegible 13/8 Sen A. 16/8 Issued no P. 698 dt 16.8.24. To Submit with draft reminder for approval. Jr 5/9. Illegible 23 Illegible 6.9 Issued no P. 1333 dt 10.9.24.
63	From the Dy Comr. Mysore Dt No: Ac 8361 24-25 dt 8.9.24 States that the petition of Messrs: B.S. Puttaswami, Puttamma & Venkataramana Setty filed on 23.2.1924 was not accompanied by a deposit of Rs 100/- As per rules & forwarded the records leading to the grant of the endorsements rejecting the petitions

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | R A File No. 29 of 1923-4

Serial No.

24 Submitted.

Flag H — P.10 ... P2400 — Dec. 12-23 — D.7. 12.23 — Flag C — Flag H

25 The petition was not accompanied by a deposit of Rs 100/- as required by Rules.

26 The Dr Coll. has rejected the petition as it did not Comply with the rules published under Notification No. P2400/Regis 12-23-7 D.7. 11.23.

Flag J

27 The second petition was rejected by him as the time prescribed for the presentation of objection petitions according to Circ D.7 10.12.23 was over.

Flag O

28 Both these petitions were neither stamped as required by Rules nor were they accompanied by a deposit of Rs 100/.

(illegible) Flag N

29 The Dr Coll. is of opinion that the objection raised by the petitioners are futile.

30 Submitted for orders.

1579

31 This is an appeal against the order of the D.C. of Tenasserim rejected his two objection petitions of Messrs

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

RA File No. 29 of 1923

1181 (9

Serial No.	
	B.S. Rathnaswami and others against the election of the R.A. for the Rural Constituency of T. Narsipur Taluk.
H	1. The 1st petn dated 18.2.1924 was unstamped. Nor was it accompanied by the deposit of Rs 100 as required by N.R. 24 of Regn. 12.23.7, dated 7.11.1923. It was therefore rejected by the D.C.
H	2. When the same persons filed another petn on 23.2.24, this petn also was unstamped & was not accompanied by the deposit of Rs 100. Besides, this was filed 2 days after the last date fixed for filing objections. Viz 21.2.24 (vide H:9). This was also rejected.
N	3. The D.C in his letter says that he was present at T. Narsipur & says what he alleges to have occurred in the petns are untrue.
	4. Hence the appeal petns may be dismissed, as there are no grounds for interfering with the orders of the D.C. which are correct.

dtd 16/9

6. As the petitions were unstamped & no deposit of Rs 100 was made in accordance with the rules, the petns were rightly rejected. The 2nd petn was out of date by two days. The appeal may be dismissed.

Dewan 8.9.24 / 16/9

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
RECD. ON 17. SEP. 1924
Reg. No. 2267

Flags I & J

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Rec'd 23/9

G.R.S. III-2]

B65WD.0531

(10) 1181/10 (10

File No. 29 of 1923

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Draft submitted.

24/9/24

Serial No.

To Messrs P. R. Lakshminarayanappa & 5 Others 66

Candidates for election to R. A, T. Narasipur.

No P. 1689 dt 30.9.24.

With reference to their appeal petition re the election to R. A. held at T. Narasipur informs that Govt are of opinion that their petitions were rightly rejected by the D.C. & dismisses the appeal

memo No P. 1690 to copy to D.C.

Mysore & returns the record.

1/10/24

D 4232
P

11

Name of petitioners

RA 29-23 D. R. Lakshminarayana
35 & others

Of T. Narasipura

Subject

States that they have filed ~~against~~ a petition on 6.3.24 appealing against the order of the Addl. Comr. Mysore Dn. re: the irregularities in the election held at T. Narasipura on 15.2.24 for the Dist: Assembly, and requests that 2 Rs Court fee stamps now deficit.

12)
may be caused to
be applied to that
petition of 6.3.24
& necessary enquiries
caused to be made
& a re-election
may be ordered.

4232
P/13

R.A. 29-23-35

25/3/24 P. R. Lakshminarayanappa & Anr
T- Narasipura

Remitted mein pet. y election irregularity
duly stamped

Secy to govt
Ld Dy Dept
with empty

B.O
Pl
Pstd

For Insurance Notices see reverse.

No. 127

Stamps affixed (*if a parcel or packet*)—Rs.

Received a registered * _____

addressed to _____

_____

_____

_____

Initials of Receiving Officer.

Date-stamp: 6 MAR 24

* Write here "letter," "postcard," "packet" or "parcel" with the word "insured" before it when necessary.

If insured.

Insured for Rs. (*in figures*) _____ (*in words*) _____

_____

Insurance fee Rs. ____ *As.* ____ (*in words*) _____ *Weight* / *Rates* / *Tolas*

Name and address of sender. _____

_____

_____

NOTICE (1).—The Post Office is not responsible for loss or damage in the case of inland registered articles, unless they are also insured.

(2).—The special conditions and restrictions as to Insurance which will be found in the current edition of the Post Office Guide are binding upon every sender of an insured postal article by virtue of rules framed under the Indian Post Office Act, 1898.

Lal Chand & Sons,
Printers, Calcutta.

No. P 5876 (3 stamps 2 of 12 As + one of 8 As.) R.A. 29.3.24 తే 17/4/24

సు: సున్నం కొండలు చుట్టుపక్కల ప్రాంతాల్లో ప్రత్యేక రిజర్వేషన్ (14)

ఈ వేళ రిపోర్టు:—

తిరుపతి దేవస్థానం ప్రాంతాల్లో ప్రజాప్రతినిధుల సభ్యులు ఏర్పాటు
చేయడం గురించి ఆలోచించడానికి ఒక ప్రత్యేక కమిటీ

1924 లో అసెంబ్లీ ప్రకారం తిరుపతి 1 సీట్లు లో దేవస్థానాల
కాల్పుకు కేటాయించి ప్రజాప్రతినిధి సభ్యులు మొదలగు వారి ఎన్నికలో
ధార్మిక సంస్థ కమిటీ ఏర్పాటు చేయడం లేదు అనే ప్రశ్నకు—
వీరు బండి వద్ద 6 మంది ఇక్కడ ఎన్నుకోవడం కష్టం కాదని
ప్రభుత్వం వారి దగ్గర నుండి తీసుకోవడం లేదు అనే సమాధానం
పట్టి తిరిగి చేయడానికి సాధ్యమవుతుంది అని చెప్పారు. 6.3.24
రోజు ఆఫీసులు అభిప్రాయాలు తెలిపి రావడం అనేది
సమితి ఆఫీసులకి ప్రశ్నలు పంపడం ఎంత [illegible]
రాజకీయాలకు ఆఫీసులు కాకుండా ఆఫీసులు కాకుండా
సభ్యులు వచ్చిన తేదీ 22.3.24 రోజు బండుపల్లి [illegible]
ఆఫీసు పరం పంపడం లాంటిది.

ఇలాంటి ఆఫీసులు ఆఫీసులు
కొన్ని సమయం తీసుకుని ఆఫీసులు ఆఫీసులకి
తేదీ పంపు తర్వాత తీసుకుని [illegible] ఆఫీసులు
కాకుండా పంపు చేసి ఆఫీసు పంపడం లేదు.
కాకుండా లాగానే. ఇలాంటి రావడం.
రాజకీయాలకు తేదీ పంపుగా ఉన్నది [illegible]
తే "

By order
Abdul Khan
For Local & Legis: Secretary

P5876 (38 Stamps, 2-12 As) RA 24-23-41 07/7/4/24
+ one 7-8 As

15

[illegible] కు కూడా తగిన కారణాలు ఉండాలి ఇవ్వడానికి ప్రతిపాదించబడి
కోచ్చిన్ రాజ్యం:—

1. రాజ్యంలోని ప్రజలకు సంబంధించిన పత్రాలకు ప్రజా ప్రతినిధి
సభ్యులు రాష్ట్రంలోని పోలీసు అధికారులు మరియు వి. ఆర్. లక్ష్మీకార
అధ్యక్షత వహిస్తారు—

1924 వ సంవత్సరపు ప్రజా ప్రతినిధి చట్టం 15 వ్యక్తులకు చెందిన
పత్రాలకు ప్రజా ప్రతినిధి సభ్యులు మినహాయింపు
అధికారులు రాష్ట్రంలోని ప్రతినిధులు మరియు పోలీసు అధికారులు
సంస్థలకు సంబంధించిన వ్యక్తులు మరియు వారి ప్రతినిధులు
కోర్టు అధికారులు మరియు ఇతరులు మరియు వారి ప్రతినిధులు
వీరు సుంకం విధించమని కోరే సాధారణ అధికారాన్ని ఇవ్వడం
ఇది 6.3.24 న అసెంబ్లీ ఆమోదించిన తరువాత
అయితే సభలో ప్రతిపక్షం నుండి 2—
(ఎరడు) రూపాయలకు మించి స్టాంపు పన్ను విధించే అధి
కారాన్ని ఉపసంహరించుకోవడం కోసం ప్రతిపాదన తేదీ 22.3.24
న్యాయవాదులకు మినహాయింపు ఇవ్వడం

ప్రతిపక్షాల అభ్యంతరం అసెంబ్లీ ఆమోదించిన
ప్రతిపాదనలో ఉన్నట్లుగా అసెంబ్లీ ఆమోదించిన
ప్రతిపాదనలో స్టాంపు పన్ను విధించే అధికారాన్ని
కూడా స్టాంపు పన్ను విధించే అధికారాన్ని అసెంబ్లీ
న్యాయవాదులకు మినహాయింపు ఇవ్వడం

Yours
Abdulman
For Local Legislature

R.A. 29-23-43 4780 Pt.

There are
Sd. 1-3
returned
Encl:
Sd.
1-4-24

All the three stamps are punched
Stamps - Es. Dis'D 29.4.24

By
29.4.24

L+L Seer
with empty

Bio. 1

Es.

Sd/-
D

29.4.24

2

Sd

8

30/4

3

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಇಂ/ಕಾ.ನಿ/ಕಾ.ಪಾ/ಟೆಂ-೦೧/೨೦೨೨-೨೩ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೦೧-೦೬-೨೦೨೨

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರ ಕಛೇರಿ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ, ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖಾಲಿ ಇರುವ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅರ್ಹ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು	ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮಾಸಿಕ ವೇತನ
೧	ಸಹಾಯಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಸಿವಿಲ್)	೦೧	೩೦,೦೦೦/-
೨	ಕಿರಿಯ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಸಿವಿಲ್)	೦೨	೨೫,೦೦೦/-
೩	ಕಿರಿಯ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಎಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಕಲ್)	೦೧	೨೫,೦೦೦/-
೪	ಕಿರಿಯ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಮೆಕ್ಯಾನಿಕಲ್)	೦೧	೨೫,೦೦೦/-
೫	ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ಆಪರೇಟರ್	೦೧	೧೫,೦೦೦/-

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫-೦೬-೨೦೨೨ ಸಂಜೆ ೫.೩೦ ರವರೆಗೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರ ಕಛೇರಿ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ, ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ ಇವರ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಪೂರ್ಣ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆಯ್ಕೆಯು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಹತೆ, ಅನುಭವ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಖಿತ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ/ಸಂದರ್ಶನದ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾದ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣವನ್ನು ನೀಡದೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಅಥವಾ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು ಕಾಯ್ದಿರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು
ಕಾವೇರಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ
ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಪೇಟೆ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

9 [illegible] 1000 [illegible] 800 [illegible]

[illegible]

101 [illegible] 74 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1000 [illegible] 175 [illegible]

[illegible]

11 [illegible]

[illegible] 15-2-24 [illegible] 7 [illegible]

[illegible] 16-2-24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

12 16-2-24 [illegible] 12 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

13 [illegible] 18-2-24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2277 [illegible]

[illegible]

18

14 23-2-24 [illegible] 100 [illegible]
[illegible] 1-3-24 [illegible] 316 [illegible]
[illegible] 18-2-24 [illegible]
[illegible] 29-2-24 [illegible]

15 [illegible] 15-2-24 [illegible]

16 [illegible] 25-2-24 [illegible]
[illegible] 18-3-24 [illegible] 5150 [illegible]
[illegible] 6-3-24 [illegible]
[illegible] 2-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible] 17-4-24 [illegible]
[illegible] 5876 [illegible]

H Varadiah [illegible]

B.S. Pillaswamy [illegible]

G. R. S. VI--G/32]

(20

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4780

Section P429-23

File No.

Serial No. 45

General and Revenue Secretariat

(RA)

, the 192 .

No. J 6202: Elec

Date of issue 12/5/24

Signed by M. Lea

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dt

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for an early report with records, petition Regd No. 4780 D/29.4.24 of Messrs P. R. Lakshminarayana and five others of T. Narsipur appealing against the election held at T. Narsipur Rural Constituency

WD 0632 - GPB—18000—16-8-23

-1)
In 15. 2. 24 after electing
a member from that rural
Constituency to the Repn
Assembly.

Francis

cwg
1925 For Secretary's Office
Local & Legislative Depts.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

37714 R A 29-23-53

19

22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative
Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated 5th June, 24

Despatched

Received

General No. H. C. 835/23-24.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report on the objection petition of Messrs P R Lakshminarayana and others re: the elections to the Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council held at T Narasipur

(23)

5202
P

No.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, ...5th June.....1924.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Local and Legislative Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P.6202/R.A. 129-23-45 dated the ~~22th~~ 12th May 24, forwarding petition No.4780 dated 29th April 24 of Messers. P.R.Lakshminaranappa and five others of T.Narsipur appealing against the election held at T.Narsipur on 15th February 24 for electing two members from that rural constituency to the Representative Assembly, I have the the honour to report that petition dated 18th February 1924 was received in this office from the same parties complaining that there was extrordinary delay on the part of the Polling Officer in recording votes, that the Polling Officer ill-treated the voters and candidates and that the votes of illiterate voters were recorded by the Polling Officer or by one of his associates. As the petition was not accompanied with a deposit of Rs.100/- as laid down in para 5 of G.O.No. P 2400/ Legis. 12-23-7 dated the 7th November 23, the same was rejected, and an endorsement was issued.

On 23rd February 24 a petition signed by Messers. B.S. Puttaswamy, Puttanna and Venkataramanasetty was filed before this office complaining that in addition to the points raised in the first petition the Taluk Officials took a special fancy to voters from Bannur hobli and consequently the two candidates from Bannur succeeded in scoring the highest numbe of votes. The date prescribed for presentation of objection

24

objection petitions against elections to the Representative Assembly as per official memorandum dated 10th December 23 was before 21st February 24, so this petition was rejected as belated.

3. In the petition under report, it is mentioned in addition to the objections raised in the two petitions addressed to this office that the Polling Officer while leaving the office in the evening of the 15th February 24 did not intimate the voters that the polling would take place on the next day also, that from the time the Taluk Sheristedar sat at the entrance to the Treasury Room, the voters were not properly identified, that Bannur voters were fed by the successful candidates at T.Narsipur on the night of the 15th February 24. These objections were not mentioned in the previous petitions and seem to be after thoughts and not entitled to consideration.

4. As regards the objections detailed in all these petitions, I may state that I visited T.Narsipur on the 16th February 24 on receiving a Telegraphic message of complaint from these petitioners, and found that the work of recording votes was going on somewhat slowly. Having stayed for some time and speeded up the work, the elections were completed and the results declared the same afternoon. It was also found to be true that a number of voters of certain other parts of the taluk except Bannur had left the place the previous day without voting, on account of the delay. But this was their own fault, as they should have waited till their turn came up and then exercised their right of voting. The objections now raised by the petitioners are futile and it seems to me that no notice can be taken of them.

5. The petition received with the letter under reply is herewith

25)

is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Sri Mysore District.

MKN

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

126

SUBJECT Calls for records relating to the grant of endorsements to the petitioners in T. Narsipur Taluk election appeals.

Diary No. of letter replied to 5202

Section. RA

File No. 29 - 23

Serial No. 57

General and Revenue Secretariat
(RA)
, the 192 .

No. 0408

Date of issue 29/7/24

Signed by W. J.S

To The Deputy Commissioner Mysore & Co

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No: A.C. 8 354/23-24 dated 5th June 1924 reporting on the petition of Mr. B.R. Lakshminarayanappa and others of T. Narsipur re

over.

the alleged irregularities
in the election to the Legs:
Assembly from the S. Arcot rural
constituency, I am
directed to enquire whether
Whether the petition of
Messrs B. S. Puttaswami,
Puttamma & Venkataramana
Setti presented filed on
23rd February 1924 was
accompanied by a deposit
of Rs 100/- as laid
down in para: 5 of G.O
No: P 2400/Legis 12-23-7
dt 7. 11. 23; and to request
that the records leading
to the grant of endorsement
rejecting the petitions dated
18th & 23rd February 1924 may
be forwarded to this office
very early together with a copy
of this Official Memo.

By order of
10th December 1923

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 2215-GPB—2,000—6-6-24

For Secretary to Govt
L & L Department

1107
P

28

No.C.835/24-25

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, ...8th.September.....*192* 4.

R.A.29-23-63

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A.,B.T.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

B.Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Local and Legislative Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.P 408/R.A. 29-23-57 dated the 29th July 1924 regarding the alleged irregularities in the election to the Representative Assembly from the T.Narsipur rural constituency, I have the honour to state that the petition of Messers. B.S.Puttaswamy, Puttanna and Venkataramanasetty filed on the 23rd February 1924 was not accompanied by a deposit of Rs100/- as required under the rules. The records leading to the grant of endorsements rejecting the petitions dated 18th and 23rd February 1924 are also forwarded. The copy of your office memorandum dated the 10th December 1923 is also enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaraniah

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MKN

14665
19

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Legis. Depts
Bangalore.

Dated 8th September 1924

Despatched

Received



General No. H.C.835/24-25
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Furnishing additional information re: election to the Representative Assemoly from T.Narsipur constituency.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, 10th December 1923.

Official Memorandum.

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to announce that the inaugural meeting of the Representative Assembly that is being constituted under section 3 of the Mysore Representative Assembly Regulation XVIII of 1923 will be held ~~xxx~~ on the 21st March 1924, and that of the Legislative Council that is being constituted under section 4 of the Mysore Legislative Council Regulation XIX of 1923 on the 2nd April 1924.

2. In the calendar piblished with the notification No. P. 2412/Legis 14-23-5 dated the 7th November 1923, Government have ordered that elections to the new Representative Assembly and the new Legislative Council shall be held on the 15th February 1924. Government are pleased to issue the following calendar prescribing the dates for the publication of the results of elections the presentation and the decision of objection petitions and the notification of the final results of elections.

1.	Elections.	15th February 1924 (Friday)
2.	Communication of the results of elections by polling officers to the Deputy Commissioners and Presidents.	Before 18th February 1924 (Monday)
3.	Submission of the same by the Deputy Commissioners and Presidents to Government for being notified in the Gazette.	Before 20th February 1924(Wednesday
4.	Publication of the same by Government in the Gazette.	21st February 1924 (Thursday)
5.	Presentation of objection petitions to Deputy Commissioners against elections to the Representative Assembly.	Before 21st February 1924 (Thursday)
6.	Presentation of objection petitions to District Judges against elections to the Legislative Council.	Before 25th February 1924 (Monday)
7.	Disposal of objection petitions by Deputy Commissioners.	Before 3rd March 1924 (Monday)
8,	Disposal of objection petitions by District Judges.	Before 6th March 1924 (Thursday)
9.	Appeals to Government where appeals are admissible	Before 6th March 1924

37)

10.	Final publication of the results of elections and notice to members to attend the meeting.	Before 13th March 1924 (Friday)
11.	Representative Assembly Meeting.	21st March 1924. (Friday)
12.	Legislative Council Meeting	2nd April 1924 (Wednesday)

Government trust that the Deputy Commissioners, Presidents of Municipalities, District Judges and all other authorities concerned will strictly keep to the dates prescribed in calendar in order that the inaugural meetings of the Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council may be held on the dates mentioned in paragraph one above.

By. Order.

Sd/ C.Abdul Ghani

For Secretary to Government,

Local and Legislative Departments.

" C o p y "

Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

BNR.

G. R. S. VI – G-32]

35—WD 0527

SUBJECT Reject the appeal of Messrs P. R. Lakshminarayanappa & five others D. L. Narsipur against the election held at T. Narsipur on 15th & 16th Feb 1924

Diary No. of letter replied to 1181

Section RA

File No. 29-23

Serial No. 66

General and Revenue Secretariat

(RA)

No. P. 1689

the 192 .

Date of issue 30/2/24

Signed by W. F. S.

To Messrs P. R. Lakshminarayanappa and five others

Candidates for election to the Rep: Assembly.

T. Narsipur

In reply to their petition Regd No. 4780 d/29.4.24 appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore dt rejecting their petitions alleging certain irregularities in the election held at T. Narsipur on 15th & 16th February 1924, ~~for the~~ choosing of Members to ~~the~~ the Rep: Assembly from the T. Narsipur Constituency and requesting that the election may be set aside after due enquiry and a fresh election ordered Messrs P. R. Lakshmi-

over

(63)

Ramappa and five others
of T. Narsipur are informed
that ~~After~~ on a ~~careful~~ personal
of the records ~~and careful~~
~~Consideration of the facts~~
of the case, Government
are of opinion, that the
petitions were rightly
rejected by the Deputy
Commissioner.

The appeal is therefore
dismissed.

By order

15/2/25

For Secretary to Govt
Local & Legislative departments

Memo. of 1690 Records

Copy of the above is
forwarded to the Dy Commissioner
Mysore for information
with reference to correspondence
ending with his letter No. LC 835/24-25
Dy 8.9.24 and records
received therewith are
returned.

By order

For Secretary to Govt
Local departments

Asst
25/7